1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General	
2	of the State of California VIVIEN H. HARA	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CATHERINE E. SANTILLAN	
4	Senior Legal Analyst California Department of Justice	
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	
6	Telephone: (415) 703-5579 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
7	Legal Representatives for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE PHYSICAL THERAPY BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	STATE OF CAL	AIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No.
12	JOHN GILBERT SORIANO	ACCUSATION
13	4200 Queensboro Way Union City CA 94587	
14	Physical Therapist License No. PT 26708	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	<u>PARTIES</u>	
19	1. Steven K. Hartzell (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his	
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Physical Therapy Board of California,	
21	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about December 3, 2001, the Physical Therapy Board of California	
23	issued Physical Therapist License Number PT 26708 to John Gilbert Soriano (Respondent). The	
24	Physical Therapist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
25	herein and will expire on June 30, 2005, unless renewed.	
26	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Physical Therapy Board of	
28	California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws.	
	1	

All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

4. Section 2609 of the Code states:

The board shall issue, suspend, and revoke licenses and approvals to practice physical therapy as provided in this chapter.

## 5. Section 2660 of the Code states:

The board may, after the conduct of appropriate proceedings under the Administrative Procedure Act, suspend for not more than 12 months, or revoke, or impose probationary conditions upon, or issue subject to terms and conditions any license, certificate, or approval issued under this chapter for any of the following causes:

- (d) Conviction of a crime which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physical therapist. The record of conviction or a certified copy thereof shall be conclusive evidence of that conviction.
- (i) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of the State Medical Practice Act, or violating, or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violating of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the State Medical Practice Act.
- (l) The commission of any fraudulent, dishonest, or corrupt act which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physical therapist.

## 6. Section 2661 of the Code states:

A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of a felony or of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physical therapist is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board may order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgement of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing that person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict

of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### 7. Section 2661.5 of the Code states:

- (a) In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licensee found guilty of unprofessional conduct to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case.
- (b) The costs to be assessed shall be fixed by the administrative law judge and shall not in any event be increased by the board. When the board does not adopt a proposed decision and remands the case to an administrative law judge, the administrative law judge shall not increase the amount of the assessed costs specified in the proposed decision.
- (c) When the payment directed in an order for payment of costs is not made by the licensee, the board may enforce the order of payment by bringing an action in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licensee directed to pay costs.
- (d) In any judicial action for the recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.
  - (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew (e) or reinstate the license or approval of any person who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.
  - (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license or approval of any person who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the board to reimburse the board within that one year period for those unpaid costs.

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

2

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(Conviction)

- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2660(d) and 2661 [conviction] and 2660(1) [dishonest act] in that he was convicted of a violation of Penal Code section 484(a), petty theft. The circumstances are as follows:
- 9. On or about March 21, 2003, a criminal complaint titled *People of the* State of California vs. John G. Soriano, case no. 202855-8 was filed in Superior Court, Alameda County, Fremont Hall of Justice. Count 1 charged respondent with a violation of Penal Code section 484(a), petty theft. On or about July 10, 2003, respondent entered a plea of no contest to Count 1 and was found guilty. He was sentenced to three years probation, ordered to pay a fine of \$317.00, and to stay away from Fry's Electronics in Fremont, California. The circumstances are as follows:
- 10. On or about March 9, 2003, respondent went to Fry's Electronics in Fremont, California. He paid for one item, but concealed two digital camera batteries valued at \$99.98 in his fanny pack. When he left the store, he was confronted by store security personnel. Respondent admitted to the theft, and was cited for shoplifting.
- 11. Therefore, respondent's license is subject to discipline in that he was convicted of a violation of Penal Code section 484(a), petty theft, in violation of code sections 2660(d), 2660(l) and 2661.

## **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Physical Therapy Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physical Therapist License Number PT 26708, issued to John Gilbert Soriano.
- 2. Ordering John Gilbert Soriano to pay the Physical Therapy Board of California the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2661.3;

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	DATED: <u>August 10, 2004</u>
3	
4	
5	<u>Original Signed By:</u> STEVEN K. HARTZELL
6	Executive Officer
7	Physical Therapy Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
8	Complainant
9	SF2004400875
10	Soriano, J Accusation.wpd
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	